

CORRESPONDENCE

Open Access

# Response to “How can WhatsApp facilitate the future of medical education and clinical practice?”



Enda O'Connor  and Eamonn Coleman

Dear Editor

We thank the authors for their correspondence related to our scoping review [1]. They propose expanding the use of an instant messaging application (IMA) in the undergraduate setting, specifically for problem-based learning (PBL) and during clinical student clerkships.

The authors state some orthodoxies about undergraduate medical learning – that it is opportunistic, experiential and is effective when it occurs in groups – before asserting that WA can enhance each of these elements of learning. We are in agreement with this. A majority of published literature suggests that, concerns about intrusiveness notwithstanding, WA makes learning more convenient, collaborative, inclusive and enjoyable. It promotes group learning, and enhances efficiency by streamlining the organisational aspects of learning. Importantly, it is also an effective educational tool. In our review, 9 studies evaluated the IMA in an undergraduate setting [2–10], 8 of which, including 3 randomised controlled trials [3, 4, 9] demonstrated a learning benefit.

If the authors hope to expand the role of an IMA in undergraduate education, further analysis of these 9 studies reveals some useful insights to guide their planning. Eight used an online moderator/facilitator and 7 used WA in a blended model of learning. Furthermore, 6 used the IMA in discrete learning modules rather than for broad curricular learning, all of which centred around basic science topics (histology, bacteriology, pharmacology, anatomy, virology and physiology). Current evidence therefore favours WA use for discrete topical blended learning, guided by a moderator, and

remote from the bedside. This is not to state that it is of no value in undergraduate clinical learning; just that the current published research does not support its use in this setting.

The authors relate WhatsApp specifically to PBL and to clinical attachments. We draw their attention to a qualitative study included in our review by Raiman et al. evaluating the IMA in these settings [5]. This small study yields interesting insights into IMA learning, in particular the categorisation of the WA content into educational, organisational and social messages. Users also lamented the lack of face-to-face interaction with IMA learning. Decisions about the role of WA in learning activities therefore need to take account of how the platform will be used and what messages will be promoted therein.

The principal assertion of the authors that the role of WA should be more widely integrated into undergraduate medical education activities is a laudable one. Consistent with our instructional design model however, the objectives of WA as an educational tool need to be clearly articulated prior to the design and delivery of a new intervention [1]. Assuming that the purpose of the WA group is primarily educational, the following guiding questions may be useful to a new IMA education designer.

1. Will WA be used as a standalone or blended learning tool? As noted above, the strongest evidence supports a blended model of learning.
2. Will the discourse on the IMA be solely educational or will organisational and/or social messages be permitted? This centres on whether the core learning occurs through WA discussions, or whether WA is used to facilitate learning occurring

\* Correspondence: [oonnoen@tcd.ie](mailto:oonnoen@tcd.ie)  
University of Dublin Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

elsewhere. For the former, online activities should be clearly mapped to predetermined learning objectives.

3. Will WA learning use a pre-defined curriculum or not? It appears to be more suited to discrete quanta of learning than to large curricula.
4. Will learner participation be voluntary or mandatory?
5. Will a moderator be required and has he/she experience in this online role? WA groups used primarily for educational purposes, especially those where core learning occurs through the online discussions, require a trained moderator.
6. Will the WA group discussion be used as a summative student assessment tool? Few data exist regarding the use of WA for formal undergraduate assessment [11]. Measuring participation in online discussions ignores the fact that effective passive learning can occur in an IMA group. Furthermore, active participation may be hindered by reticence and unfamiliarity with or poor access to the platform. Finally, problems posed on a WA group can effectively only be “answered” once, the solution thereafter visible to all group members. We call this phenomenon the “answer exposure”, a shortcoming which denies some learners the opportunity to demonstrate their knowledge [8]. In our experience however, the IMA environment is indeed useful for formative assessment, clarifying errors and misunderstandings in a transparent and collaborative environment.

Finally, the authors correctly assert that the COVID-19 pandemic has inspired a reappraisal of the role of technology-enhance learning (TEL) in medical education. Numerous reports highlight a plethora of eLearning strategies, described as a “medical education revolution” [12]. These strategies include learner management systems (Blackboard®, Fry-it®), videoconferencing (Zoom®, Teams®), lecture recording platforms (Panopto®), digital clinical placements and eSimulation strategies [12]. The additional appeal of using an IMA for information-sharing in an era of physical distancing seems obvious. Based on the paucity of medical education articles related to COVID-19 and IMA learning however [13], it is possible that the pandemic has paradoxically driven TEL away from WA and towards more formal online learning tools. Of note, we also caution against overuse of mobile internet devices in an era of electronic patient records. The obvious concern is the perception of a doctor or medical student interacting more with their electronic devices than with the patient.

In summary, WhatsApp is a cheap, accessible learning resource which the authors suggest requires further

integration into undergraduate education. In principle, we support an expansion of blended learning strategies in medical education but caution however against the use of an IMA without theory-driven instructional design considerations. We also encourage reflection on the use of other more formal and adaptable technologies to enhance learning. We encourage the authors to consider the use of our instructional design model to integrate IMA education into their practice.

#### Authors' contributions

The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 11 November 2020 Accepted: 11 December 2020

Published online: 14 January 2021

#### References

1. Coleman E, O'Connor E. The role of WhatsApp® in medical education; a scoping review and instructional design model. *BMC Med Educ.* 2019;19(1):279.
2. Alkhalaf AM, Tekian A, Park YS. The impact of WhatsApp use on academic achievement among Saudi medical students. *Med Teach.* 2018;40(sup1):S10–4.
3. Gon S, Rawekar A. Effectivity of E-learning through Whatsapp as a teaching learning tool. *MVP J Med Sci.* 2017;4(1):19.
4. Khan AA, Siddiqui AZ, Mohsin SF, Momani MMA, Mirza EH, AL Momani, et al. Impact of network aided platforms as educational tools on academic performance and attitude of pharmacology students. *Pakistan J Med Sci.* 2017;33(6):1473.
5. Raiman L, Antbring R, Mahmood A. WhatsApp messenger as a tool to supplement medical education for medical students on clinical attachment. *BMC Med Educ.* 2017;17(1):7.
6. Ranjan R, Jain A, Baghel AS. WhatsApp-assisted learning of anatomy as an adjuvant to traditional class-room learning: achievements and Prospect. *Int J Anat Res.* 2017;5(1.3):3659–64.
7. Mohesh G, Syed MS. Perceptions on M-learning through WhatsApp application. *J Technol Health Sci.* 2016;3:57–60.
8. Dyavarishetty PV, Patil DC. An interventional study to assess the effectiveness of 'WhatsApp' as a teaching learning tool in community medicine. *Int J Community Med Public Heal.* 2017;4(7):2564.
9. Mohanakrishnan K, Jayakumar N, Kasthuri A, Nasimuddin S, Malaiyan J, Sumathi G. Whatsapp enhances medical education: is it the future? *Int J Med Sci Public Heal.* 2017;6(2):1.
10. Maske SS, Kamble PH, Kataria SK, Raichandani L, Dhankar R. Feasibility, effectiveness, and students' attitude toward using WhatsApp in histology teaching and learning. *J Educ Health Promot.* 2018;7:158.
11. Güler Ç. Use of WhatsApp in higher education: What's up with assessing peers anonymously? *J Educ Comp Res.* 2017;55(2):272–89.
12. Torda A. How COVID-19 has pushed us into a medical education revolution. *Intern Med J.* 2020;50(9):1150–3.
13. Hillburg R, Patel N, Ambrusco S, Biewald MA, Farouk SS. Medical education during the coronavirus pandemic: learning from a distance. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis.* 2020;27(5):412–7.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.